

SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

Strategy and Resources Policy Committee

Meeting held 15 November 2022

PRESENT: Councillors Terry Fox (Chair), Julie Grocutt (Deputy Chair), Angela Argenzio, Douglas Johnson (Group Spokesperson), Bryan Lodge, Joe Otten, Mick Rooney and Richard Williams

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Shaffaq Mohammed, Martin Smith and Paul Wood.

2. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

2.1 No items were identified where resolutions may be moved to exclude the public and press.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3.1 There were no declarations of interest made.

4. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS RELATING TO THE ISSUE TO BE DISCUSSED

4.1 There were no public questions or petitions.

5. ENDORSEMENT OF THE PUBLICATION DRAFT SHEFFIELD LOCAL PLAN ('THE DRAFT SHEFFIELD PLAN')

5.1 The Executive Director, City Futures submitted a report and associated appendices that were intended to be considered through the committee process and finally to full Council to seek approval to consult on Sheffield's Publication Draft Local Plan ('The Sheffield Plan'). It was noted that the recommendations in the report were agreed by the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee on 3rd November 2022.

The report and the appendices, which was considered by the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee, summarises the benefits of the Local Plan and outlines the process that should enable the Plan to be adopted by the end of 2024. The public consultation strategy is also found in the appendix. Councillor Otten raised concerns in respect of the proposals in respect of wood burning stoves and restrictions around hot food takeaways and it was noted that these issues would be considered at the cross party working group considering the Local Plan.

5.2 **RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY:** That Strategy and Resources Policy Committee:-

- (a) Endorses the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan for the purposes of public consultation;
- (b) Endorses the consultation programme for seeking the public's view on the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan;
- (c) Endorses the list of 'submission documents' as defined in paragraph 4.3.3 of the Appendix and listed in paragraph 4.3.4 of the Appendix that will form part of the public consultation;
- (d) Refers this report to full Council to seek their approval of the Publication Draft Plan, the consultation programme and the list of 'submission documents';
- (e) Requests that any 'schedule of suggested amendments' (referred to at paragraph 1.11.2 of the Appendix) compiled after the consultation of the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan be approved by the Strategy and Resources Committee and full Council prior to submitting the relevant documents to the Government;
- (f) Recommends that, in due course, full Council delegates authority to the Chief Planning Officer, in consultation with the Chair, Deputy chair and Spokesperson of the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee, to approve any non-material amendments to the Publication Draft Sheffield Plan and consultation programme prior to public consultation and any adjustments to the list of submission documents set out in paragraph 4.3.4; and
- (g) Endorses the minor amendments set out in paragraph 1.2 of the report which were endorsed by the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee on 3 November 2022.

5.3 Reasons for Decision

- 5.3.1 Once adopted, the new Sheffield Plan will make a major contribution to the future development of the city and will guide development over the next 15-20 years. The content of the Draft Plan and the public consultation programme take account of the risks and alternative options set out in section 5 above.
- 5.3.2 The documents that are the subject of this report (Part 1: Strategy, Sub Area Policies and Site Allocations, Part 2: Development Management Policies, Annex A: Site Allocation Schedule, Annex B: Parking Guidelines, Policies Map and Glossary) comprise the draft development plan documents for Sheffield. It is published under Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). The submission documents will include such documents as fall within the definition at Regulation 17 (as quoted in paragraph 4.3.3) and which are listed at paragraph 4.3.4.
- 5.3.3 The documents represent the Council's firm proposals for the development of the city over the period to 2039. Public consultation, seeking views on the 'soundness' of the Plan will take place before it is submitted to the Government for public

examination.

5.3.4 Several important factors have determined the growth plan and overall spatial strategy proposed in the Draft Sheffield Plan:

a) Under the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), strategic policies in the local plan do not have to meet the objectively assessed needs for housing and other uses if expansion of the urban areas is constrained by Green Belt. The Green Belt Review shows that almost all the land designated as Green Belt continues to perform at least one of the purposes of Green Belt.

b) Releasing greenfield land in the Green Belt for development now has a high risk of undermining efforts to reuse the substantial supply of brownfield sites in the City Centre and other parts of the urban area. It would also cause significant harm to the city's biodiversity and would undermine the city's reputation as the 'Outdoor City'. The adverse impacts of meeting the full need therefore significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of meeting the need for housing and other development when all factors are considered.

c) Employment land needs can be met within the existing urban areas. Allocated sites and sites with planning permission provide almost 14 years supply of employment land. Additional supply required to meet needs to 2039 will be met through 'churn' of land ('windfalls') within existing employment areas.

d) Demographic analysis by Icen Projects (see paragraph 1.6.5- 1.6.7 above) shows that the city's economic growth plans require an annual housing requirement within the range 1,994-2,323 homes per year and that this can be achieved by developing land within the existing urban areas. No harm would therefore be caused by setting the housing requirement at the level (2,100 homes per year) proposed in the Draft Plan.

e) Proposed allocated Housing Sites and sites with planning permission provide capacity for 27,625 homes (equivalent to over 13 years supply based on the proposed housing requirement in the Draft Plan). Windfalls and developable land (particularly within identified 'Broad Locations for Growth') will provide sufficient supply to last to 2039.

f) There are clear benefits in terms of reducing carbon emissions by focussing a large proportion of the housing growth in higher density developments in the Central Sub-Area – where there are greater opportunities to walk, cycle or use public transport to access, jobs, shops and services. Developing sites on the edge of the built-up areas leads to a greater need to travel and potentially requires new transport infrastructure.

g) Adopted local plans elsewhere in South Yorkshire and Derbyshire currently provide 'headroom' in terms of meeting the Government's annual housing need figure across the wider city region.

5.3.5 The development management policies in the Draft Plan take into account the viability of development and strike an appropriate balance between different plan objectives – in particular, reducing carbon dioxide emissions, delivery of affordable housing and provision of wheelchair adaptable and accessible dwellings.

5.4 **Alternatives Considered and Rejected**

5.4.1 All local planning authorities are expected produce a local plan for their area. The Government has stated its intention to intervene in plan making where a local

planning authority fails to produce a plan and keep it up to date. In effect, this would mean the Government would take over planning for the area if the Sheffield Plan is not produced.

- 5.4.2 Under the latest Government statements, local planning authorities will be required, as a minimum, to ensure that there is a plan in place which addresses the strategic priorities for their area (e.g. housing need). The strategic plan can be produced by local planning authorities working together or independently, in the form of a joint or individual local plan. They may also be produced by an elected Mayor or combined authority in the form of a spatial development framework (where plan-making powers have been conferred). Currently, although some discussion has taken place, none of these alternative arrangements have been agreed between the authorities in the Sheffield City Region as part of the duty to cooperate. They could, however, provide an alternative way of planning for the city and the wider city region in the future.
- 5.4.3 The Draft Sheffield Plan includes strategic priorities but also includes local policies which allocate sites and deal with more detailed development management issues. Local policies are also produced in neighbourhood plans prepared by a neighbourhood planning group (a parish or town council, or a neighbourhood forum). Two neighbourhood plans have already been adopted but, currently, only a handful of neighbourhood plans are being prepared in Sheffield. The number could, however, rise in the future. But it's unlikely that full coverage will be achieved across the city, meaning there will continue to be a need for local policies prepared by the City Council.
- 5.4.4 The various alternative options regarding the scale and location of future development have already been described in section 1 above. Public consultation on the Issues and Options has enabled all the key strategic options to be fully considered. We have highlighted the key choice about whether Sheffield should seek to meet all its own housing needs within the district or seek to accommodate some of it elsewhere in the city region. It is worth noting that Rotherham, Barnsley and North East Derbyshire Councils have already deleted land from the Green Belt in order to meet their own housing needs. The Draft Sheffield Plan proposes to provide sufficient new homes to support the city's jobs growth economic aspirations. Providing more homes in line with the Government's objectively assessed need figure would mean either providing more land for employment uses or lead to outward commuting from Sheffield as people take up jobs in neighbouring districts. The option of providing more land is restricted by the Green Belt.
- 5.4.5 The main alternative to consider with many of the development management policies and site allocations is whether to have them or not. However, for a number of the policies, economic viability considerations have meant that choices have had to be made between the achievement of better design standards and delivery of higher numbers of affordable homes. This has already been discussed in section 1.9 above.